## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

**PCT** 

REC'D	30	JAN	2006
WIPO			PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
P6721 541975			District the state of the seal	
International application No.	International filing date (da)	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US05/03553	04 February 2005 (04.02.20		05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and I	PC		
IPC(7): B29C 45/14 and US Cl.: 264/259	9, 275,334			
Applicant			ĺ	
LOMON MOLDING, INC				
Examining Authority under	er Article 35 and transmitted	d to the applicant ac		
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 5 sheets, include	ling this cover sheet	t.	
3. This report is also accomp	panied by ANNEXES, comp	orising:		
a. (sent to the applica	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16				
and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).  sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the report				
	Priority			
	Non-establishment of opinion policability	on with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application		
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international applic	cation	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report		
24 August 2005 (24.08.2005)		19 December 2005	(19.12.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		Authorized officer	- 1	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		EDMIND IT I ED	lean Proces	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		EDMUND H. LEE	Paralegal Spiriture	
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450	0	Telephone No. 571	.272.1700	
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201  Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)				

International application No.	
PCT/US05/03553	

Box	No.	I Bas	is of the report
1.	With	regard t	o the language, this report is based on:
		the inte	rnational application in the language in which it was filed.
		a transla	ation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the es of:
		in in	ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		D pu	ablication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
		in	ternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
	to the	receivin	the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished by Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not s report):
		the inte	rnational application as originally filed/furnished
			cription:
	•	pages	1-9 as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		pages*	received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the clai	
		pages	as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		pages*	received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the dra	wings:
		pages	1-8 as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			the description, pages
		片	
		닏	the claims, Nos
		Щ	the drawings, sheets/figs
			the sequence listing (specify):
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.		This re	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, hey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			the description, pages
			the claims, Nos
		$\Box$	the drawings, sheets/figs
		H	the sequence listing (specify):
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
ĺ		Ш	any taore(s) related to the sequence using (specify).
*	If iten	n 4 app	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/03553

*	
Claims <u>5-8, 13, 16-18</u>	YES
Claims <u>1-4,9,10-12,14-15</u>	NO
Claims NONE	YES
Claims 1-18	NO
Claims 1-18	YES
Claims NONE	NO
	Claims 1-4,9,10-12,14-15  Claims NONE Claims 1-18  Claims 1-18

Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/03553


In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Supplemental Box

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 8-11 and 13-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Dolber (USPN 5520876). Dolber teaches the claimed process as evidenced by figs 1-6.

Claims 1-7 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Abrams (USPN 5800757). In regard to claim 1, Abrams teaches the basic claimed process except the claimed limitations directed to a second object being a second sign. It should be noted that Abrams teaches producing a point of use promotion sign. Since it is well-known in the marketing industry to use two-sided point of use promotion signs to attract customers from two directions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mold connect the promotional sign of Abrams to another molded identical promotional sign in order to attract customers from two directions. Abrams teaches the limitation of claims 2-4 as evidenced by figs 17-21. Abrams does not teach the claimed design of claim 5; a male snap element; and a groove. In regard to the claimed design of claim 5, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the claimed designs are well-known in the sign art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed designs in the process of Abrams in order to form a diverse product. In regard to a male snap element, such is well-known in the molding art as an effective means for connection. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a male snap element in the sign of Abrams (modified) in order to efficiently and effectively connect the signs. In regard to a groove, such is wellknown in the molding art as an effective means for connection. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a groove in the sign of Abrams (modified) in order to efficiently and effectively connect the signs.

Claims 12 and 17-18 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Dolber (USPN 5520876). The above teachings of Dolber are incorporated hereinafter. Dolber does not teach operating the heated sprue to eliminate the need to manually trim the sign; the limitations of claim 16; adjusting the orientation of the label by an orientation adjustment mechanism; using an adjustment mechanism that can adjust in a lateral direction, vertical direction and rotational direction; placing at least one label from the first set and at least one label from the second set in the second sign mold portion. In regard to operating the heated sprue to eliminate the need to manually trim the sign, such is well-known in the molding art in order to reduce the number of manufacturing steps. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to operate the sprue of Dolber to eliminate the need to trim the sign of Dolber. In regard to the limitations of claim 16, such is well-known in the insert molding art in order to accurately place the label in the mold. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed device in the process of Dolber in order to ensure accurate placement of the label. In regard to adjusting the orientation of the

International application No. PCT/US05/03553

#### Supplemental Box

label by an orientation adjustment mechanism, such is well-known in the molding art in order to facilitate proper placement of an insert. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an orientation adjustment mechanism in the device of Dolber in order to facilitate accurate placement of the label of Dolber. In regard to using an adjustment mechanism that can adjust in a lateral direction, vertical direction and rotational direction, such is well-known in the molding art in order to ensure accurate placement of an insert. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an adjustment mechanism in the device of Dolber that can go in the claimed directions in order to ensure accurate placement of the label. In regard to placing at least one label from the first set and at least one label from the second set in the second sign mold portion, such is well-known in the insert art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert two labels from two sets of labels into the mold of Dolber in order to form a diverse article.

Claims 1-18 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus the claimed inventions have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

In applicant's reply filed 08 August 2005, applicant argued that Abrams does not teach the claimed second object; and Dobler does not teach associating an injection device and ejection system with the same mold portion, and placing a label in a the second sign mold portion. In regard to the Abrams not teaching the claimed second object, such has been addressed by the above rejection. In regard to associating an injection device and ejection system with the same mold portion, it is clear from Dobler that opening 29 of mold portion 1 of Dobler constitutes an injection port. In regard to placing a label in a the second sign mold portion, it should be noted that the claimed second portion is met by the combination of mold portions 22 and 9, i.e., collectively mold portions 22 and 9 of Dobler constitute the claimed second mold portion.

..... NEW CITATIONS -----